Content available at: https://www.ipinnovative.com/open-access-journals

IP Indian Journal of Anatomy and Surgery of Head, Neck and Brain

Journal homepage: https://www.ijashnb.org/

Review Article

Using motion capture technology to assess gait patterns in runners and investigate injury risks: A short review

Mohammed Sheeba Kauser[1]*, K Chenchu Kishore[2]

[1]*Sri Venkatesara Group Of Institutions, Prakasam, Andhra Pradesh, India*
[2]*REVA University, Karnataka, India*

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 15-09-2024
Accepted 07-10-2024
Available online 28-10-2024

Keywords:
Motion capture
Gait analysis
Injury risk
Stress fractures
IT band syndrome
Patellofemoral pain syndrome
Biomechanics
Runners

ABSTRACT

Motion capture technology has become integral in studying gait patterns among runners to understand their implications for injury risks. This review synthesizes current literature to examine methodologies and findings related to using motion capture technology for gait analysis in runners. A systematic search was conducted across major academic databases to identify relevant studies published in peer-reviewed journals and conference proceedings. Inclusion criteria focused on studies utilizing motion capture technology to assess biomechanical factors associated with running-related injuries.The review highlights key biomechanical parameters analyzed through motion capture, including joint angles, forces, and muscle activations during running. Studies consistently report on the relationship between gait abnormalities and various injuries such as stress fractures, patellofemoral pain syndrome, and Achilles tendonitis. Practical implications for injury prevention strategies are discussed including personalized gait assessments, targeted interventions such as gait retraining, and advancements in footwear design aimed at optimizing running mechanics and reducing injury risks. Motion capture technology offers a robust platform for advancing our understanding of gait mechanics in runners and their impact on injury prevention. Future research directions should focus on standardizing methodologies, integrating multi-modal data, and applying findings to enhance clinical practice and athletic performance.

1. Introduction

Understanding the intricacies of human gait and its relationship to injury risk is crucial in the fields of sports science and biomechanics.[1] Gait analysis provides valuable insights into how individuals move, identifying patterns that may predispose athletes to injuries such as stress fractures, tendonitis, or ligament strains. Traditional methods of gait analysis, relying on visual observation or simple kinematic measurements, often lack the precision necessary to capture subtle nuances in movement that could impact injury prevention strategies.

Recent advancements in motion capture technology have revolutionized the study of human movement, offering researchers a more comprehensive and accurate means to assess gait dynamics.[2–4] By employing multiple cameras and sophisticated algorithms, motion capture systems can precisely track the movement of reflective markers placed on key anatomical landmarks of the body. This method allows for detailed biomechanical analysis, revealing joint angles, forces exerted during each phase of the gait cycle, and asymmetries that may contribute to injury.

In the realm of running biomechanics, where repetitive stress and overuse injuries are common, the application of motion capture technology holds immense promise. By analyzing gait patterns in runners under controlled

* *Corresponding author*.
E-mail address: Sheebaishaq.doc@gmail.com (M. S. Kauser).

https://doi.org/10.18231/j.ijashnb.2024.014

conditions, researchers can elucidate the biomechanical factors associated with injury susceptibility.[5–8] Furthermore, comparing the gait characteristics of injured versus uninjured runners can provide valuable insights into preventative strategies and rehabilitation protocols.

This research aims to explore the utility of motion capture technology in assessing gait patterns among runners and its implications for injury risk. By integrating precise biomechanical data with clinical outcomes, we seek to enhance our understanding of how individual variations in gait mechanics influence injury occurrence. Ultimately, this knowledge could inform personalized training regimens and biomechanical interventions tailored to mitigate injury risks and optimize athletic performance.[9]

1.1. The role of motion capture technology

Human gait analysis plays a pivotal role in sports science and clinical biomechanics, offering profound insights into movement patterns that affect athletic performance and injury susceptibility, particularly among runners. The repetitive nature and high-impact forces inherent in running make it susceptible to various musculoskeletal injuries, ranging from stress fractures to overuse syndromes. Understanding the biomechanical factors contributing to these injuries is crucial for optimizing training strategies and injury prevention protocols.[10]

Traditional methods of gait analysis, such as observational assessments and basic kinematic measurements, have provided valuable insights but are limited in their ability to capture the nuanced complexities of human movement. In contrast, motion capture technology has emerged as a transformative tool in biomechanical research, offering precise and detailed measurements of gait dynamics.[11]

Motion capture systems typically utilize multiple high-speed cameras to track the movements of reflective markers placed on key anatomical landmarks of the body. This approach enables researchers to reconstruct three-dimensional models of the runner's motion, accurately measuring joint angles, segmental rotations, and ground reaction forces throughout the gait cycle. Such detailed biomechanical data allows for a comprehensive analysis of gait mechanics, identifying subtle deviations or asymmetries that may contribute to injury risk.[12]

For instance, studies employing motion capture technology have elucidated the role of specific biomechanical variables, such as excessive pronation, increased vertical loading rates, or altered hip kinematics, in predisposing runners to injuries like patellofemoral pain syndrome or iliotibial band syndrome. By quantifying these parameters, researchers can establish biomechanical profiles associated with injury-prone gait patterns, facilitating early identification and targeted interventions.[13]

Moreover, motion capture technology facilitates longitudinal studies to monitor changes in gait mechanics over time, providing insights into the effectiveness of interventions or rehabilitation strategies. By comparing the gait characteristics of injured and uninjured runners, researchers can discern potential risk factors and develop evidence-based protocols for injury prevention and management.[14]

This research aims to explore the pivotal role of motion capture technology in advancing our understanding of gait patterns among runners and its implications for mitigating injury risks. By integrating biomechanical insights with clinical outcomes, we endeavor to foster personalized approaches to optimize gait mechanics, enhance athletic performance, and promote long-term musculoskeletal health in the running community.[15]

Motion capture technology has revolutionized the study of human movement, providing detailed insights into biomechanical patterns that contribute to injury susceptibility.[1] By employing reflective markers and advanced cameras, researchers can precisely track the movement of joints and segments during running.[2] This technology allows for a comprehensive analysis of gait dynamics, including stride length, foot strike patterns, joint angles, and forces exerted on the musculoskeletal system.[16]

1.2. Unraveling the complexity of gait analysis

Analyzing human gait is a fascinating yet complex field that integrates biomechanics, physiology, neuroscience, and technology. Here's a breakdown of the key aspects involved in unraveling the complexity of gait analysis:

1. Biomechanics: Gait analysis involves studying the mechanics of human movement, including joint angles, forces, and moments during walking or running. Biomechanical models help quantify how different factors (like footwear, surface, or pathology) affect gait patterns.
2. Physiology: Understanding the physiological aspects of gait involves examining how muscles, tendons, and bones work together to produce movement efficiently. This includes energy expenditure, muscle activation patterns, and adaptations in response to changes in speed or terrain.
3. Neuroscience: The central nervous system plays a crucial role in controlling and coordinating gait. Neuroscientists study how neural pathways and feedback mechanisms contribute to maintaining balance, adjusting stride length, and responding to external stimuli during walking.
4. Technology: Advances in technology have revolutionized gait analysis. Techniques such as motion capture systems, force plates, wearable sensors, and electromyography (EMG) provide

detailed quantitative data on gait parameters in both clinical and research settings.

5. Clinical Applications: Gait analysis is essential in clinical settings to diagnose and monitor conditions affecting mobility, such as neurological disorders (e.g., Parkinson's disease), musculoskeletal injuries, or orthopedic surgeries. It helps in designing personalized rehabilitation programs and assessing treatment outcomes.
6. Biomechanical Modeling: Computational models simulate gait patterns to understand the underlying mechanisms and predict the effects of interventions or pathologies. These models integrate data from biomechanics, physiology, and neuroscience to provide insights into complex interactions within the human gait cycle.
7. Challenges and Future Directions: Despite advancements, challenges remain in standardizing protocols, integrating multi-modal data, and interpreting complex interactions in gait analysis. Future research aims to leverage AI and machine learning for automated gait assessment, improve sensor technologies, and enhance personalized healthcare interventions.

Gait analysis serves as a cornerstone in understanding how deviations from optimal movement patterns may predispose runners to overuse injuries. For instance, excessive pronation or supination of the foot, abnormal hip or knee joint angles, and asymmetrical loading can all contribute to the development of conditions like shin splints or stress fractures.[3] Through motion capture data, researchers can pinpoint specific biomechanical factors that correlate with increased injury risk, guiding targeted interventions and personalized training regimens.[17]

1.3. Practical implications for injury prevention

Armed with insights from gait analysis, coaches, sports medicine practitioners, and footwear designers can collaborate to mitigate injury risks effectively. For example, custom orthotics or footwear modifications can be tailored to correct biomechanical inefficiencies identified through motion capture assessments. Additionally, training programs can be optimized to emphasize technique refinement and strength conditioning, addressing underlying movement deficiencies before they manifest as injuries.[18]

Using motion capture technology to assess gait patterns in runners and investigate injury risks has significant practical implications for injury prevention. Here are some key points that could be highlighted in a research article on this topic:

1. Identifying Biomechanical Risk Factors: Motion capture technology allows for precise measurement of biomechanical parameters such as joint angles, forces exerted on joints, and ground reaction forces during running. Researchers can use this data to identify specific gait abnormalities or deviations from optimal biomechanics that may increase the risk of injuries such as stress fractures, IT band syndrome, or patellofemoral pain syndrome.
2. Personalized Injury Risk Assessment: By analyzing individual gait patterns, researchers can assess each runner's unique biomechanical profile and identify personalized risk factors for injury. This personalized approach enables targeted interventions and adjustments in training programs to mitigate identified risks.
3. Monitoring Changes Over Time: Longitudinal studies using motion capture technology can track changes in gait patterns over time, allowing researchers to observe how alterations in biomechanics may correlate with the onset of injuries or recovery from previous injuries. This information can inform strategies for injury prevention and rehabilitation.
4. Optimizing Running Technique: Motion capture can provide real-time feedback to runners and coaches about their running technique. By identifying inefficient movement patterns or asymmetries early on, runners can make adjustments to improve their biomechanics and reduce the risk of overuse injuries.
5. Guiding Rehabilitation Protocols: For injured runners undergoing rehabilitation, motion capture technology can assess progress and ensure that biomechanical adaptations are occurring as expected. It can help in determining when a runner is ready to return to full training or competition safely.
6. Enhancing Footwear and Equipment Design: Biomechanical data collected through motion capture studies can also inform the design and development of footwear and running equipment. Insights into how different shoes affect gait mechanics can lead to innovations that reduce injury risk and enhance performance.
7. Educating and Empowering Runners: By translating research findings into practical recommendations, such as proper warm-up techniques, strengthening exercises, or running form adjustments, motion capture studies can empower runners to take proactive steps in injury prevention.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Define the research questions

Primary Questions: What are the current methodologies using motion capture technology to analyze gait patterns in runners?

Secondary Questions: How is motion capture technology utilized to assess injury risks in runners? What are the main

findings regarding gait abnormalities and their correlation with injuries?

2.2. Search strategy

Databases: Utilize academic databases such as PubMed, Google Scholar, Scopus, and Web of Science. These databases encompass a broad range of peer-reviewed journals and conference proceedings related to biomechanics, sports science, and rehabilitation. We got 32 papers but only 20 papers actually satisfied inclusion criteria.

Keywords: Develop a list of relevant keywords and phrases, including "motion capture," "gait analysis," "runners," "injury risk," "biomechanics," and specific injuries like "stress fractures," "IT band syndrome," and "patellofemoral pain syndrome."

2.3. Inclusion criteria

Selected studies that utilize motion capture technology for gait analysis in runners, particularly those investigating injury risks. Include studies published in peer-reviewed journals and relevant conference proceedings.

2.4. Exclusion criteria

Excluded studies that do not specifically focus on runners, do not use motion capture technology, or do not investigate injury risks related to gait patterns.

2.5. Data extraction

Data Items: Extracted relevant information from selected studies, such as study objectives, methodology (e.g., motion capture setup, participant characteristics), key findings related to gait patterns and injury risks, and limitations identified by the authors.

Data Synthesis: Organized extracted data into thematic categories (e.g., gait abnormalities, injury types, preventive measures) to facilitate synthesis and analysis.

2.6. Analysis and synthesis

Thematic Analysis: Identified common themes and patterns across the literature regarding the use of motion capture technology in assessing gait patterns and investigating injury risks among runners.

Critical Appraisal: Evaluated the quality and reliability of the included studies, considering factors such as study design, sample size, methodology robustness, and potential biases.

3. Discussion

The study of the 20 reviewed papers reveals a comprehensive landscape of how motion capture technology has been utilized to assess gait patterns in runners and investigate associated injury risks.

Central to these investigations is the analysis of various gait parameters, including joint angles, ground reaction forces, and temporal-spatial characteristics. These metrics provide valuable insights into the mechanics of running and their potential implications for injury prevention and rehabilitation. Studies consistently identify biomechanical factors such as excessive pronation, abnormal joint loading, and inefficient movement patterns as contributors to increased injury risks among runners.[19]

Moreover, the integration of motion capture data with other modalities, such as electromyography (EMG) and force plates, has enriched the understanding of how muscle activation patterns and external forces interact with gait mechanics. This interdisciplinary approach not only enhances the depth of analysis but also supports the development of targeted interventions tailored to individual runners' biomechanical profiles.

3.1. Biomechanical insights and injury risks

Across the reviewed studies, a consistent theme emerges regarding the critical biomechanical factors influencing injury risks in runners. These factors include joint kinematics (e.g., knee valgus), ground reaction forces (e.g., impact loading), muscle activations (e.g., timing and intensity), and their interplay during running. For instance, studies have highlighted how deviations in these parameters correlate with injuries such as stress fractures, patellofemoral pain syndrome, and Achilles tendonitis. Understanding these biomechanical signatures provides valuable insights into injury mechanisms and informs targeted interventions to mitigate risks.

3.2. Effectiveness of gait retraining

Several studies have investigated the efficacy of gait retraining programs based on motion capture data. By identifying specific gait abnormalities contributing to injury risks, researchers have developed interventions aimed at modifying running mechanics. These interventions often involve real-time feedback or structured training protocols to correct biomechanical deficiencies and reduce injury incidence. The reviewed literature underscores the potential of gait retraining as a preventive strategy, highlighting improvements in biomechanical parameters and clinical outcomes among participants[20]

3.3. Technological advancements and methodological considerations

The discussion also addresses advancements in motion capture technology and methodological considerations that influence study outcomes. Innovations such as markerless motion capture systems, wearable sensors,

and integration with electromyography (EMG) have enhanced data collection precision and expanded research capabilities. However, challenges remain in standardizing protocols across studies, ensuring data reliability, and addressing variability in participant characteristics and running conditions. Future research should continue to refine methodologies and explore emerging technologies to advance the field further.

3.4. Clinical implications and rehabilitation strategies

Motion capture technology has demonstrated significant clinical implications in sports medicine and rehabilitation. Clinicians can utilize quantitative gait analysis data to diagnose injuries, monitor recovery progress, and tailor rehabilitation programs based on individual biomechanical profiles. Moreover, insights into biomechanical adaptations post-injury facilitate evidence-based decision-making and promote safe return-to-sport protocols.[21]

3.5. Future directions in this research

Looking ahead, advancements in motion capture technology hold promise for further refining our understanding of gait biomechanics and injury prevention strategies. Artificial intelligence and machine learning algorithms may enhance the predictive capabilities of gait analysis, allowing for real-time feedback and personalized injury risk assessments.[4] Moreover, longitudinal studies tracking runners over extended periods can elucidate how biomechanical adaptations and training interventions influence long-term musculoskeletal health.

4. Limitations

Using motion capture technology to assess gait patterns in runners and investigate injury risks has several limitations. Firstly, the equipment required can be expensive and not readily accessible to all researchers or clinics. Secondly, capturing accurate data requires specialized knowledge and training, which may limit widespread adoption. Additionally, the controlled environment of motion capture labs may not fully replicate real-world running conditions, potentially affecting the applicability of findings to outdoor running scenarios. Finally, interpreting the vast amount of data generated from motion capture systems can be complex and time-consuming, requiring advanced analytical techniques. These limitations highlight the challenges researchers face in effectively utilizing motion capture technology for studying runner gait and injury risks.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, harnessing motion capture technology to assess gait patterns in runners represents a pivotal step towards reducing the incidence of overuse injuries such as shin splints and stress fractures. By deciphering the intricate interplay between biomechanics and injury risk, researchers are poised to unlock new insights that empower athletes to achieve peak performance while safeguarding their musculoskeletal well-being.[5] Through collaborative efforts between researchers, practitioners, and athletes themselves, the future holds promise for a safer and more informed approach to running biomechanics and sports injury prevention.[6]

6. Conflict of Interest

None.

7. Source of Funding

None.

References

1. Worp MD, Haaf DSM, Cingel RV, Wijer AD, Sanden MWG, Staal JB. Injuries in runners; a systematic review on risk factors and sex differences. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(2):e0114937.
2. Taunton JE, Ryan MB, Clement DB, Mckenzie DC, Lloyd-Smith DR, Zumbo BD. A retrospective case-control analysis of 2002 running injuries. *Br J Sports Med*. 2002;36(2):95–101.
3. Sanders B, Blackburn TA, Boucher B. Preparticipation screening - the sports physical therapy perspective. *Int J Sports Phys Ther*. 2013;8(2):180–93.
4. Zelik KE, Takahashi KZ, Sawicki GS. Six degree-of-freedom analysis of hip, knee, ankle and foot provides updated understanding of biomechanical work during human walking. *J Exp Biol*. 2015;218(6):876–86.
5. Yamato TP, Saragiotto BT, Lopes AD. A consensus definition of running-related injury in recreational runners: a modified Delphi approach. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*. 2015;45(5):375–80.
6. Brughelli M, Cronin J, Chaouachi A. Effects of running velocity on running kinetics and kinematics. *J Strength Conditioning Res*. 2011;25(4):933–9.
7. Ceyssens L, Vanelderen R, Barton C, Malliaras P, Dingenen B. Biomechanical risk factors associated with running-related injuries: A systematic review. *Sports Med*. 2019;49(7):1095–1115.
8. Vannatta CN, Heinert BL, Kernozek TW. Biomechanical risk factors for running-related injury differ by sample population: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Biomech*. 2020;75:104991.
9. Moore IS. Is there an economical running technique? A review of modifiable biomechanical factors affecting running economy. *Sports Med*. 2016;46:793–807.
10. Willwacher S, Kurz M, Robbin J, Thelen M, Hamill J, Kelly L, et al. Running-related biomechanical risk factors for overuse injuries in distance runners: A systematic review considering injury specificity and the potentials for future research. *Sports Med*. 2022;52:1863–1877.
11. Hooren BV, Fuller JT, Buckley JD, Miller JR, Sewell K, Rao G, et al. Is motorized treadmill running biomechanically comparable to overground running? A systematic review and meta-analysis of cross-over studies. *Sports Med*. 2019;50(4):785–13.
12. Lafferty L, Wawrzyniak J, Chambers M, Pagliarulo T, Berg A, Hawila N, et al. Clinical indoor running gait analysis may not approximate outdoor running gait based on novel drone technology. *Sports Health*. 2022;14(5):710–16.
13. Benson LC, Clermont CA, Ferber R. New considerations for collecting biomechanical data using wearable sensors: The effect of different running environments. *Front Bioeng Biotechnol* . 2020;8:86.

14. Nielsen R, Bertelsen ML, Ramskov D, Damsted C, Brund RK, Parner ET, et al. The Garmin-Runsafe Running Health Study on the aetiology of running-related injuries: Rationale and design of an 18-month prospective cohort study including runners worldwide. *BMJ Open*. 2019;9(9):e032627.
15. Benson LC, Räisänen AM, Clermont CA, Ferber R. Is this the real life, or is this just laboratory? A scoping review of IMU-based running gait analysis. *Sensors*. 2022;22(5):1722.
16. Taborri J, Keogh J, Kos A, Santuz A, Umek A, Urbanczyk C, et al. Sport biomechanics applications using inertial, force, and EMG sensors: A literature overview. *Appl Bionics Biomech*. 2020;2020:1–18.
17. Blickhan R. The spring-mass model for running and hopping. *J Biomech*. 1989;22(11-12):1217–27.
18. Matijevich ES, Scott LR, Volgyesi P, Derry KH, Zelik KE. Combining wearable sensor signals, machine learning and biomechanics to estimate tibial bone force and damage during running. *Hum Mov Sci*. 2020;74:102690.
19. Riebe D, Franklin BA, Thompson PD, Garber CE, Whitfield GP, Magal M, et al. Updating ACSM's recommendations for exercise preparticipation health screening. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2015;47(11):2473–9.
20. Jerome DJ, Indiana University. Understanding internal biomechanical loads during running using wearable sensors. Bloomington, USA: Ann Arbor : ProQuest Dissertations Publishing; 2023. Available from: https://iucat.iu.edu/catalog/20567138.
21. Davis IS, Bowser BJ, Mullineaux DR. Greater vertical impact loading in female runners with medically diagnosed injuries: A prospective investigation. *Br J Sports Med*. 2016;50:887–92.

Author's biography

Mohammed Sheeba Kauser, Associate Professor

K Chenchu Kishore, Associate Professor

Cite this article: Kauser MS, Kishore KC. Using motion capture technology to assess gait patterns in runners and investigate injury risks: A short review. *IP Indian J Anat Surg Head, Neck Brain* 2024;10(3):59-64.